IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.57172 of 2007
RAJEEV KUMAR SUMAN @ RAJU
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

3. 1.5.2008 Heard.

This is a case under section 304B/34 of the Indian Penal Code and ¾ of the Dowry Prohibition Act. The petitioner is the husband of the deceased.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the girl died due to burning at the time of cooking in the kitchen. He also submitted that the family members tried to save the girl. They also sustained burn injuries. They took her to the hospital and got her treated and they also informed the Naihar side of the deceased and an F.I.R. to this effect was also filed by the mother-in-law.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Learned counsel appearing for the informant opposed the prayer and submitted that the defence taken by the petitioner is mere defence and they have taken precaution to file F.I.R. to save their skin.

Considering the fact that the wife of the petitioner died within three years of her marriage and there is allegation of demand of dowry, I do not feel inclined to release the petitioner on bail. His prayer for bail, therefore, is rejected.

(Rekha Kumari,J.)

Surendra/